

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	<del></del>						
APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/680,194	10	/08/2003	Benjamin P. Reese	2846-0276P	5401		
2292	7590	07/01/2004		EXAN	EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747  BARFIELD, ANTHONY DERR							
FALLS CHUI	RCH, VA	22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
				3636			

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/680,19	<b>3</b> 4	REESE, BENJAMIN	I Р.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Anthony [	) Barfield	3636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ress				
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	V. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the state od will apply and wi tute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror lication to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this com  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•							
	—— his action is n	on-final.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from co							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	• • •	•	· ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)				<b>?</b> .				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:	• •	152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/680,194

Art Unit: 3636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "the tubular slide" lacks proper antecedent basis in claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-3,7,9-11,15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tseng. Tseng shows the use of a chair (4) comprising a support assembly with a first leg set (41); a second leg set located between and pivoted to the first leg bars (Fig. 5); a backrest having lower ends pivoted to the second leg bars and rotatable with respect to the support assembly to selectively change a tilting angle of the backrest with respect to the support assembly; and a slide (31) movably over a free end of each first leg bar and pivoted to the backrest, the slide further comprising fastening means (415,612) to selectively secure the tubular slide with respect to the

Application/Control Number: 10/680,194

Art Unit: 3636

first leg bar thereby releasably securing the backrest with respect to the support assembly. (see Fig. 6). Tseng shows the use of a constraint element (32) to prevent the slide from sliding off the bar.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 12-14 and 17-18 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tseng in view of Wang. Tseng shows all of the teachings of the claimed invention except the use of the U shaped back telescopically received by a pair of back bars. Wang shows the conventional use of a back bar (5) which receives respective limbs (31) of a U-shaped backrest. It would have been a mere reversal of parts to modify the limbs of the backrest to be received by the back bar, since it has been held that a mere reversal of parts is well within the scope of one ordinary skill in the art. In regards to claims 17-18, Tseng shows a lug which pivotally receives the second leg set but fails to show a lug having a cylindrical projection to receive the backrest. Wang shows the use of a lug (5) having a cylindrical projection (51) to receive the backrest. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the chair of Tseng with the teachings of Wang, in order to allow the backrest to selectively pivot forward as well as rearward.
- 7. Claims 8 and 16 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tseng. Tseng shows all of the teachings of the claimed invention except the

Application/Control Number: 10/680,194

Art Unit: 3636

use of an armrest pad and expanded spheres on each leg bar. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the chair of Tseng with an armrest pad and expanded spheres, since it has been held that an omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same function as before only involves routine skill in the art. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 4-6 and 19 would be allowable over the prior art made of record if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference Nos. 2,512,353, 4,514,009, and 4,750,784 show features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony D Barfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2158. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

nthony I Barfield

rimary Examiner

Krt Unit 3636

adb

June 28, 2004